| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 09/543,951 | DALTON ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | William L. Miller | 3677 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>William L. Miller</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Bradley Ruben</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 25 April 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 1 and 6 Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | · |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claims 1 and 6 were amended to correct informalities and to pass the case to issue. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| • | | |
| | • | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | • |
| WILLIAM L. MILLER PRIMARY EXAMINER | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | 'Applicant's Representative Si | ignature – if appropriate) |